

THE REBEL

Vol. 1. No. 9. CALGARY, ALBERTA, OCTOBER 8, 1937. 10 Cents.

DEFY THE DICTATORS!

"Where Law Ends, Tyranny Begins!"

"REBELLION AGAINST TYRANTS IS OBEDIENCE TO GOD"
—Franklin.

Dictatorship or democracy? Government by law or rule by tyranny? Which shall it be?

No longer need there be any doubts or speculations as to the real intentions of the Aberhart party. The true characters of those in control of our government and the real nature of their ambitions and designs have now been openly revealed for what they are.

The legislation proposed and the laws enacted at the session of the legislature just concluded prove beyond any doubt that responsible government by law has been supplanted by dictatorship under the direction of a gang of irresponsible, law-defying madmen.

Included in the mandate given to the Aberhart party are:

1. To pay a monthly dividend of \$25 to every bona fide citizen—"in credit, not money."
2. To take nothing from those who have to give to those who have not.
3. To reduce taxation.
4. To honor all contractual obligations. No repudiation.
5. To maintain the personal liberty of all citizens.
6. To abolish poverty in the midst of plenty.
7. To do all these things LEGALLY, CONSTITUTIONALLY.

The mandate given the Aberhart party did NOT include:

1. Confiscatory taxation of banks, nor control of bank policy, other as to "methods" or "results."
2. Payment of the promised dividend by the banks. (They were to be paid by a State Credit House.)
3. Issuance of scrip.
4. Despotie control of every business and professional person by member of the government.

(Continued on Page 2.)

Hugill's Repentance --of Peter or Judas?

"THERE ARE TWO KINDS OF REPENTANCE. ONE IS THAT OF JUDAS, THE OTHER THAT OF PETER. THE ONE IS ICE BROKEN, THE OTHER ICE MELTED."—NEVINS.

Dear Mr. Hugill.—We quote from your radio address in which you renounced Aberhart and all his works:

Cowardly Act

If further evidence had been required to prove beyond the possibility of doubt the oft-repeated charge of moral cowardice against Premier Aberhart, the repeal of the Recall Act just at a time when it was being invoked in an effort to unseat him provides this proof in a manner and form which must convince even the most biased and fanatical of his supporters. If Aberhart possessed even the faintest spark of manhood and sportsmanship, he would have welcomed this opportunity of testing public opinion and would have resigned rather than allow the rules of the game to be changed while the play is on. But that he was too yellow to face the music and wanted the recall proceedings halted is proven by the fact that just before the session of the legislature he insulted the sponsors of the recall move by referring to their action as "low-down politico-financial tactics" and suggested that "other constituencies should have a voice in the matter." His prayer was answered by repeal of the Act. Not only the good citizens of Okotoks-High River constituency, but of Alberta as a whole, will undoubtedly find other and even more effective methods than recall to give expression to their complete repudiation of such a disgusting, contemptible coward.

"If ever there was a time when public men, honored by their fellow citizens to represent them in the legislature of this fair province, were, in an especial sense, bound to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth to the people, THIS IS THAT TIME."

These are noble sentiments, and we wish with all our heart that we could believe in your sincerity as we do in the truth of the words you uttered. You are, indeed, to be commended for having broken away from Aberhart. That is a step in the right direction. But while in your re- and denunciation of Aberhart you undoubtedly spoke the truth and, nothing but the truth, in neither of these nor in your attempted justification of yourself have you as yet spoken the WHOLE truth. And that is THE important thing right now. In fact, without it your repentance is but an empty noise, a mere apology for having been forced to recognition of truths which should have been evident to you long ago. A repentance modified with self-justification is NOT a repentance, but the self-condemnation of a moral coward. True repentance does not try to EXPLAIN a folly; it ADMITS the wrong, with sincere contrition, without attempting to justify its commission. And, as

Delwyn says: "Repentance WITHOUT AMENDMENT" is like continually pumping without mending the leak."

So, Mr. Hugill, we are not satisfied with your confession. It is incomplete, lacking, in fact, the one prime essential which alone can

(Continued on Page 2.)

DEFY THE DICTATORS 1—Continued from Page 1.

5. Robbery of citizens of their civil rights and denial of access to courts of justice.

6. Violation of the freedom of the press.

The record of the government is that:

1. It has **DONE NOTHING** it was instructed to do by its mandate; and:

2. Everything it has done is **CONTRARY TO ITS MANDATE**.

In the process of completely reversing its mandate, the government has sunk to a level where it not only no longer represents the originally-expressed wishes of those who elected it, but is actually going directly opposite to them. Far worse, however, than this betrayal of its own supporters, is that the government has turned the legislature into a den of outlaws and has established a tyrannical dictatorship.

There must be no compromise with these gangsters who defy constituted authority, who would rob citizens of their democratic rights and would destroy confederation. These traitors, who have violated their oaths of allegiance to the Crown, who by secret pledge and open declaration are at war against the liberties of the people, must be driven from the position of power which they usurped by deception and fraud. Protests alone are now insufficient. Good citizens must and will now defy the government by refusing to submit to its tyrannical and morally criminal laws, using force if necessary to defend their sacred rights of free conscience and personal liberty.

HUGILL'S REPENTANCE—Continued from Page 1.

make it genuine. And that essential is the **WHOLE** truth of **YOUR OWN INIQUITY** in the terrible crime **YOU** helped Aberhart to commit against the people of Alberta. Until you make humble acknowledgment of and express sincere contrition for **YOUR** part in the cruel crucifixion of a suffering, trusting people upon the accursed cross of Aberhart's megalomania, and **PROVE** your sincerity by resigning your seat in the House and throwing yourself upon the mercy of those whom you helped to delude, until then you stand convicted, **BY YOUR OWN WORDS**, as equally guilty with the unprincipled scoundrel whom you now disown.

YOU encouraged Aberhart in his deception of the people. **YOU** stood beside him and held him up as a great and divinely-inspired leader when he wilfully polluted a pulpit with his lies and slanders. **YOU**, professedly a lover of honor and right, held your peace when this infamous violator of things sacred went about prostituting the Word of God by representing a plan-less political nostrum as the Economy of the Almighty! By your silence, **YOU**, a member of the Bar, allegedly a defender of justice and liberty, aided and abetted this violator of these precepts in his incitations to violence, intolerance and boycott. And so we could go on with a long list of offenses by your erstwhile leader, all condoned by you.

Until by unequivocal acknowledgment of your own guilt you have wiped from your conscience the filth and deceit it has accumulated by your association with Aberhart, we will **NOT** have **YOU** pose as a defender of public honor and virtue. When, as you have done, you now denounce Aberhart as morally corrupt and mentally deranged, you are but telling us that which **WE** told **YOU** when **YOU** were parading this same corruption and this same insanity as the purest virtue and divine wisdom. You will forgive us, therefore, if while we grant that in your denunciation of Aberhart you are speaking the truth, we do not recognize in it an expurgement of your own moral crime against the people of this province. What we want from you is not a mere repetition and confirmation of truths which we have told you and which you have recognized so belatedly, but a complete, unconditional, unqualified repentance of your public misconduct. We demand, not an apology for your error of judgment—for to err is human—but atonement for your crime against society.

And do not misunderstand us. We have no desire to persecute you. But we, too, have a duty toward the people of Alberta. That duty as we see it, our most solemn duty as we perceive it in this crisis, is to bring down to utter disgrace and dishonor those who exalted themselves to positions of power by the villainous treachery and fraud perpetrated by Aberhart to insinuate himself and his party into control of the government. This duty we shall seek to perform, not for the sake of humiliating those concerned, but to the end that those who were deluded and misled by them may know the truth, that sanity and reason may be restored, hatred, passion and prejudice be

removed, the principles of democracy, liberty and justice be preserved, so that we may again go forward unitedly, in a spirit of mutual tolerance and compromise, in the building of good citizenship, a great province and a united Dominion.

We would rather pay tribute to courage and honor than to expose cowardice and dishonor. But we will not compromise with public evil. So, if you are honest in your avowedly "fervent desire to at all times do the right thing," do the one thing needful to prove your honesty. There is a greater depravity in hard-necked refusal to repent of an evil than in having committed it. So take your medicine. You are fond of quoting the ancient philosophers. We give you Epictetus: "If you would be good, first believe you are bad." And in the words of the more modern Fuller we urge you not to delay: "You cannot repent too soon, because you do not know how soon it may be too late." With this warning we couple the appeal of Bulwer: "What is past is past—There is a future left to all men who have the virtue to repent, and the energy to atone."

Mr. Hugill, are you a Peter or a Judas?

CONSTRUCTIVE POLICY NEEDED, SAYS

WM. IRVINE, Pres. Alta. C.C.F.

PROBLEM NOT ONE OF MONETARY POLICY ALONE

I have been asked by the editor of *The Rebel* to write a short article dealing with any phase of the Alberta political situation which I may select. Since my time and his space are both limited I select to comment on the situation in general.

For nearly two decades the people of Alberta have been ready for a fundamental change in our economic system. In search of this change they turned from the old historic parties in 1921. After 16 years of the U.F.A. government their economic condition had become more desperate. They were disappointed with the government in that it had not departed from the beaten path pursued by previous administrations. But the people still held their vision of a better order of society and they were determined not to return to the parties which they had left in 1921.

This was the opportunity for which Mr. Wm. Aberhart, now our premier, was looking. He emerged with an offer of immediate results to be obtained by certain manipulations of credit, the details of which he did not mention. At that time Mr. Aberhart's proposal which was dressed up in well known socialist phraseology while having no relationship to socialist philosophy or objective, was the only alternative which the people of this province had, other than those parties to which they had lost confidence.

The result is well known. Over two years of the Aberhart government and our plight is most decidedly worse than ever. In addition to increased economic pressure, dictatorship and fascism have been practiced and civil rights withdrawn or menaced. At the moment through sheer incompetence public services are in a lamentable condition while the effort of the administration to usurp dominion powers, threatens to plunge the province into civil strife.

What now? There is an attempt being made to unite all parties against the present government. Should this attempt succeed our economic problem will still remain. It is not enough, to be anti-Aberhart or anti-Social Credit. Every informed citizen of this province knows very well that I and the movement with which I am associated fought against the Aberhart party from the beginning. We fought him and, because we knew that the proposal to control financial credit was beyond the power of the province, and because we were convinced then and now that our economic difficulties would not yield to monetary policy alone, even had the province the power to implement it. Still we shall continue to oppose the Aberhart government we will not unite against him with others who have nothing to offer but the opposition.

We conceive that the time is ripe for a constructive policy and that the people who voted for Social Credit both deserve and will insist upon obtaining adequate solutions for their economic problems at least as far as that is practicable within provincial powers. To elect a government on the growing wave of resentment against Aberhart would be quite as foolish as it was to elect Aberhart in the first place. The problem is still there. What is to be done about it? A political party or aggregation of parties which has no constructive policy to offer at a time like this does not merit the franchise of the people.—Wm. IRVINE

APOLOGY TO MR. BURGET

On September 20 we wrote to the Rev. M. L. Burget as follows: "I may be all wrong, but I have the feeling that the severance of your connections with the Prophetic Bible Institute is due more to disapproval of the sacrilegious commingling of religion and politics in the pulpit of that institution than to the illness given out as the reason. If I am right, I would suggest that you give publicity to your real reasons before leaving Calgary, as in so doing you would render a greater service to the cause of religion than has been possible for you while associated with the Institute. However, be the case what it may, I would appreciate hearing from you in the matter."

On the following Sunday Premier Aberhart requested Mr. Burget to read the letter over the air, and in commenting on it Mr. Burget stated that he had always found himself in the closest harmony with Mr. Aberhart both as "Dean" of the Institute and as Premier.

We offer our humble apologies to Mr. Burget. Our letter to him was written in the hope of discovering an honest man, and it is with regret that we acknowledge our disappointment. We do not suggest that Mr. Burget has not given his real reason for leaving the Institute. We believe now that he is in ill health. We believe it with all our heart. But we also express the belief that by acknowledging his loyalty to Aberhart he has publicly confessed himself as on the side of a dishonest, cowardly blasphemer of the Word of God and deceiver of the people—**THE PEOPLE**. It is Mr. Burget's privilege to declare himself thus; and while we are sorry for him, we are pleased that our letter to him was instrumental in making his position clear to the public.

"FUNNY WORK" AND RUMORS

HOW "UNINCONSISTENT" SOME PEOPLE ARE!

"It is easier to float a rumor than to sink a truth," so quoted our quoting premier in the course of his "sermon" here on September 26.

Quite true; and our premier speaks from experience, for he has been floating rumors as well as trying to sink the truth for many years. Therefore, as is the case almost every time our premier accidentally speaks the truth, this maxim also has its better application to him personally, or "in reverse," as we say in these Aberhartian days (or is it daze?). Offhand, we would say that Aberhart is the champion rumor floater on record. Practically everything of major import done by Aberhart and his government during the past two years was first denied as a vicious rumor or diabolical misrepresentation before it was acknowledged as truth. Taxes, scrip, repudiation of debts, insurgency in S.C. ranks, "double-crosses" in the legislature, etc., etc., all were "rumors" by the wicked enemies of **THE PEOPLE**, until legislation by the government itself or the cold facts proved them otherwise. This takes no account of the many rumors to which Aberhart himself gave impetus by repeating them over the air, such as, for instance, the one alleging that his father (or was it grandfather?) had been hanged as a horse-thief. This particular rumor has not as yet been definitely run to earth; but in the main whenever Aberhart declares this or that to be a rumor, the wise Albertan says to his frau: "M'dear, brother Able says so and so is a rumor," and through long habit the Missus replies: "Well, it's nice to know that it IS true. It is so considerate of the old liar to let us in on the secret by denying the truth about it." About the only thing regarded as an honest-to-goodness rumor without any foundation in fact whatsoever is that which declares the government's intention to fulfill its promises.

Significantly, in the same address Aberhart spread a rumor in a really dirty manner. Those who heard him will recall that in referring to a letter from High River he said, "No, I guess I won't bother reading this,"—and then immediately proceeded to read from it the imputation contained that "funny work" was going on in the recall proceedings instituted against him. After he **HAD BROADCAST THE RUMOR**, he placed the letter aside and repeated: "No, I won't bother with reading this!"

Incidentally, one may ask here that if "funny work" can go on under the stiff regulations of the government's own Recall Act, where every signature must be signed and witnessed under oath and must subsequently be posted in public for forty days, what of the possibilities of crooked work in the S.C. drive for "true blue pledge" signatures,

which is conducted under conditions ideal for every kind of fraudulent practice?

In the words of Aberhart himself, used by him in the broadcast referred to above: "It is really unbelievable how **UNINCONSISTENT** some people are!" His rotten grammar here, in conjunction with his crude deception, pictures his low mentality and immoral character.

THE DOUGLAS EXPERTS

From London Town they came out West.

Their aim to feather well their nest;

They did their job with glorious zest—

The notorious Douglas Experts.

They landed here, the ignoble two

And gave our racketeers the cue

How to rob people of their due—

The notorious Douglas Experts.

They never struck such game before,

With downright ignorance its core;

By flattery they soon won o'er

The Prophet of Alberta.

Their reports to dear old London Town

Assurance bring to Big Chief Clown

Their salaries will be paid down

By "gopier"-fed Albertans.

—Anon.

HOW TOUCHING!

In his Sunday night broadcast from Edmonton, Premier Aberhart nearly broke our heart with the pathos of his appeal for "dignity" in discussion of public affairs. Oh, dear, yes, he would keep his "programs" on a high level of purity! Our tears were just ready to burst forth in a flood of sympathy for our noble premier, when, by gum, we happened to think of the way he used to roar and rant about "liars, reprobates, fornicators, sons of Satan," etc., and also that only a day or so before he had voted down Mr. Duggan's request to investigate authorship of the vile "banker's toadies" pamphlet. So we saved our tears and sympathy for another occasion.

SPECIAL!

OFFER No. 1

Right back numbers of *The Rebel*—Nos. 1 to 8 inclusive, for

35¢

Sent postpaid to any address.

OFFER No. 2

Full series of 12 issues, including above eight back numbers, for

75¢

Postpaid to any address.

OFFER No. 3

Balance of series of 12 commencing with either No. 8 or 9, postpaid to any address, for

40¢

In placing your order kindly specify number of the offer it is desired to accept, and in the case of No. 3, state whether subscription is to commence with No. 8 or No. 9.

THE REBEL

426 15th Street N.W.

Calgary, Alta.

THE REBEL

Editor and Publisher—J. J. Zabick

426 - 15th Street N.W., Calgary, Alberta

"INDEPENDENT ALWAYS—NEUTRAL NEVER"

Entered as second-class matter at the Post Office, Calgary, Alberta

REBELETES

S. G. LOGIC—In the legislature the other day, the Hon. Solon Low said that the object of the bank legislation was "not to control the banking methods, but simply to control the results which follow from the policy carried out by the banks." If Mr. Low will now be so good as to demonstrate that he can control the results of anything without controlling the methods which produce those results, we shall be happy to support his party.

OUT OF THE BAG!—B. R. MacMillan, M.L.A. (S.C.), in advocating taxation of the banks in the House supported his contention, by quoting the late Phillip Snowden who had stated that "there is only one place to get revenue, and that is from those who have it." How interesting, after having been told time and again that "Social Credit takes nothing from anyone." It does not take from those who have to give to those who have not.

VERACITY!—In his reply to the Speech from the Throne at the recent house session, W. Tomy, M.L.A., in referring to the proposed press legislation, said: "The public is not interested in the limitation of information, but in its entire veracity." Then in the same speech he classed the People's League as a "ring of conspirators who are determined to overthrow anything that is democratic," thus uttering a lie even while professing to desire the truth!

FALL IN!—John H. "Nuts Money" Blackmore, M.P., is reported to have stated in Lethbridge: "We may have to use our social credit army before long. Find your troopers and be ready to fall into line." Well, John, so long as you only "shoot your mouth off" in this war that is supposed to be on, you'll be safe enough.

THANKS CJCJ.—In our last issue we objected to the S.C. political broadcasts over CJCJ being announced as under the auspices of "YOUR" Alberta Social Credit League, and we note with pleasure that the offensive practice has been discontinued.

AGREEMENT.—R. E. Ansley, M.L.A., said in the House the other day that disallowance of the bank legislation had impeded the progress of Social Credit. The Hon. Lucien Maynard on the other hand is on record as having said that this same act by the federal government had hastened the introduction of Social Credit three months. Our humble opinion is that Social Credit has not been impeded nor hastened, for the simple reason that there can be no impeding nor hastening of something that does not exist.

"WILLIE," B.A.—By and with the advice and consent of our Sarcastic Danish Friend-in-Council, we do hereby declare and decree that the much-maligned term, **THE PEOPLE'S WILL**, be henceforth known as "The People's WILLIE." And no Gostick comment, please!

SIMPLE!—Miracle Manning says that all a bank needs to do to pay its taxes and other obligations is to write a cheque on itself. Just like that! Like, for instance, writing a cheque to cover an overdraft of your account. Try it on your banker the next time he howls for coverage.

CHILDISH.—"Politics have ruined civic government. When people are elected to the city council, they should work for the good of all." So declared Ald. Mrs. Wilkinson in criticism of Mayor Davison for presiding at a meeting of protest against the government. Her plaint would serve better as a confession of her own guilt than as a condemnation of others, as she was actually elected to the council by a political party and brings her political bias into the council chamber at every opportunity.

JUST SUPPOSIN'!

IF HERBERT HAD BEEN MAYOR—WHAT!

Let us suppose "What-God-has-blest-let-no-man-put-asunder" Herbert had been elected mayor of Calgary over Andy Davison in the last civic elections. Let us suppose this man whose arithmetic is based

on the conception that HD3 (honey dew three times) plus 1xfountain pen equals \$25 per month, and that 2500 Social Crediters equal "over 20,000 citizens," just suppose this Scripture-twisting mathematical marvel had occupied the office of mayor in those troublous days when Aberhart was trying to unload his bogus money on Calgary! Nuff sed.

If for no other reason than that he displayed real wisdom and courage in scotching this crazy scheme, Mayor Davison deserves to be re-elected.

HAND OF OUTRAGED PUBLIC NOW WRITING MENE, MENE, TEKEL, UPHARSIN

Says "KEEHEWIN," Prominent Edmonton Executive.

We have had Aberhart and his comic opera cabinet for over two years now and have seen enough of his methods and ideas of legislation to evaluate and judge the man and his policies. As a man he has shown the exaggerated love of power of Kaiser William and Adolf Hitler and the characteristics of an imposter, and his policy has been a lamentable and ludicrous failure.

These discoveries should be evident to himself and he should confess his megalomania and failure and voluntarily retire from office. To continue his foolish trial and error method of establishing a new social order, as he terms his barren crusade, is evidence that he has found peddling sympathy for the unfortunate and distressed a profitable vocation and that he is really a greedy, heartless politician that has won his way to affluence and power by suppressing truths the people should know and advocating errors that it is to his advantage to have them believe.

Consider the glowing promises he so emphatically made in the campaign of 1935:

(a) The structure of easy money he reared before the eyes of an anxious people;

(b) The speed with which he as the inspired designer could establish the system. To a meeting of United Farmers he said four months, but more frequently eighteen months;

(c) The prediction of a great influx of population to see Social Credit work and share in its blessings of \$25 a month, just price and cultural heritage. "I have been asked," he said at Lethbridge, "why not make the dividends \$50 or \$100 a month? Well, I don't like the idea of putting too much temptation in people's way; too much prosperity all of a sudden might destroy some people's morals." How do his own morals stand an emolument of over \$800 a month? The answer is: They don't.

People were going to get all these advantages without taxation, with lowered costs of government, and the province would be administered without money. Everyone would work for every other one in the province and blissfully divide the products of their labor.

Aberhart knew this set-up was fanciful and impossible. Any man who promises such results is either weak in the head or foul in the heart, and Aberhart is not too weak in the head not to know that such predictions would turn out a hoax and imposture. Anyone who heard him before the Agricultural Committee of the Legislature in 1934 would realize that he was as ignorant of Social Credit and true economics as a Hottentot is of the binomial theorem. His "stops, starts and reversals" in trying to set up some excuse for Social Credit, and his slavish reliance on Powell and Byrne indicates his ignorance and that he has learned little since the ordeal before the Agricultural Committee.

In the light of the promises faithlessly but emphatically made, consider the performance of Aberhart and his government:

(a) At the end of eighteen months he confessed failure but hung on;

(b) Twenty-five months have passed and Social Credit is as far away as ever. The plans he outlined and the Douglas technique has been abandoned for a system of penalizing taxation on a section of the community. The theory of the blood stream is heard no more;

(c) The taxpayers are paying 2½ millions more per year than under former governments;

(d) The tourist trade is dead, and people and capital have left the province;

(e) An heretical theory of the constitution has been invented by legislators ignorant of history and law (like Unwin and Mrs. Gostick

backed up by a cheap pulpiter who now struts in the borrowed feathers of an Attorney General) that has ostracized Alberta from the rest of Canada!

Once all the lawyers were excluded from the British House of Commons. That parliament is pilloried in history as the *Parliamentum Indoctum* and the Great Coke tells us its legislation was not worth twopence. That will be the way with the laws and legal advice of the schoolmaster parading as legal adviser of the Crown.

(f) No \$25, not even \$5 promised last November, but a promise of unlimited state money (only if the banks agree)—the foolish device of every boloney money reformer for 4,000 years.

(g) Citizens denied access to the Courts and the liberty of press, right of public criticism threatened by cowards afraid of the dissecting knife of truth.

(h) Instead of Aberhart finding a system of Social Credit he had selected a victim—the banks. He pretends the banks should pay the dividends, and is willing that the money of thrifty savers shall be thrown into a common pot for all to grab. He knows as well as the bankers that this would pay dividends only for a short while, but tries to impress upon the people, from his pulpit in the Bible Institute, that the bankers can issue money ad infinitum. This is the most heinous hoax he has purveyed to the people in his three years of blusterings, false historical analogies and economic sophistries, and he knows it. That is the essence of his crime against the people.

But the people are finding him out, as all pretenders are discovered. He is being sifted and weighed. Yes, Mr. Aberhart, you are being found out: you often quote scripture to illustrate your arguments. Have you ever read this: "Mene, Mene, Tekel, Upharsin!"

The hand of a deluded and outraged people is even now etching this ominous sentence upon your theories and your government.

STILL NO HAND-SHAKER

In the "Regina Broadcast" column of the September 25 issue of *The People's Weekly*, its author, Jay Powley, has several paragraphs of kind words for the editor of *The Rebel*. Mr. Powley was a member of our staff for a time when we were publishing a newspaper in Saskatchewan, and he must have liked not only his work but us, for he pays us the compliment of declaring that we were the best "boss" he ever had. Thanks for them kind words, Jay!

But Jay is not altogether right when he says of us: "From the reverberations from the foothills, it now appears that he has reversed his decision of 1932 in regard to politics, when he declined a nomination on the Progressive ticket, because, I believe, he didn't feel that he possessed those back-slapping, hand-shaking qualities which go with the successful politician." You will observe, friend Powley, that our old motto, "Independent Always—Neutral Never," also appears on the masthead of *The Rebel*; and while we are indeed up to our neck in this mess of politics, whether for good or ill we have not yet learned, nor sought to learn, the gentle art of political back-slapping and hand-shaking.

"A Time to Pray—A Time to Fight"

SHAKESPEARE, "TYRANNY SWAYS AS IT IS SUFFERED."

During the Civil War, Rev. Muhlenberg, a Lutheran pastor who became a general during that struggle for liberation of the slaves, appeared in his church one Sunday and startled his congregation when in the course of his sermon he removed his clerical gown to reveal his military uniform. "There is a time to pray, and a time to fight," he declared, and went off to war.

The situation in Alberta has reached a point where citizens must decide whether under their outward cloak of professed love of freedom and justice they also have the cloth of militant patriotism. They must decide whether they are going to continue to merely talk and protest against violation of their liberties, or to fight to preserve them.

Our Alberta tyrants will undoubtedly rob us of every vestige of freedom, if we PERMIT THEM TO DO SO. They have now gone so far that their next move must be to attempt the actual application of the coercive laws which they have enacted. We have no right to suppose that these laws were enacted for any other purpose. And unless the federal authorities intervene, citizens can do only one of two things:

Accept the shackles, or to fight. There is no middle course. In a situation such as this, "neutral men are the allies of the Devil," but good citizens will prove their worth by the only things which can give value to life: DEEDS, ACTION.

SOCIAL CREDIT COURAGE

The utter moral depravity and disgusting cowardice of Social Credit members of the legislature was never more strikingly indicated than in the case of D. M. Duggan's motion seeking to establish authorship of a venomously slanderous pamphlet surreptitiously placed upon members' desks in the House. All but Fred Anderson voted against the motion.

It is significant that it has since been discovered that the pamphlet apparently emanated from the official propaganda bureau of the government itself.

In the first place, only the yellowest of cowards would publish such a pamphlet without giving his name. Certainly no government worthy of the name would distribute it. But we can think of nothing more nauseatingly odorous than a government shielding the author of an anonymous attack upon a member of the opposition. Here is advance indication of the fiendish work the press might be asked to do under domination of the Aberhart dictatorship!

Calling "Social Credit," London

Yoo-hoo! Yoo-oo hoo-oo! Calling "Social Credit," London-in-the-bally-smoke! Special flash from the old cow-town: Among a lot of other silly rot in your issue of September 17 there appears that crazy "over 20,000" claim in regard to the alleged attendance at a Social Credit rally held in Calgary. This is a lie. Understand: a downright, deliberate, "frigid, calculated, verminous lie." You have also published that over 20,000 "true blue" pledges had been signed. That's another lie.

BYRNE IS A FOOL

—AND THEREFORE A GOOD MAN FOR ABERHART

It is quite apparent that Major Douglas applies the same standard of judgment in the selection of his colleagues as Aberhart used when he hand-picked his candidates for the legislature. They must be weak-kneed yes-men, lacking in political perspicacity, and above all must possess consciences sufficiently elastic to permit the wildest exaggerations and falsehoods to be uttered with all solemnity. All this is clear from Douglas' choice of Powell and Byrne as his "trusted colleagues," who upon their arrival in Alberta instead of making an honest effort to gain a clear picture of the situation, quite apparently disregarded the advice and views of those most qualified to advise and to express intelligent opinions, and gaily set about adding to the confusion.

Of the two, Byrne is perhaps the more soft-brained and irresponsible, which probably explains why he has been engaged by the government as a permanent member of the "social credit" board. He exhibited his mental density and moral laxity, and therefore his fitness for association with the Aberhart government, in his farewell statement to the press before his departure for England that over ninety percent of Albertans were in support of their government. According to "Social Credit," the London mouthpiece of Major Douglas, he made a similar statement upon his recent arrival there, declaring that the people of Alberta are "solidly united behind Aberhart." Only an ignorant fool or a scoundrel would make such statements.

Expert Byrne's ignorance is further proven by his statement to "Social Credit" that "The act of disallowance by the federal government cannot be justified on any score. It is clearly unconstitutional, for the federal government has not and never had power to disallow provincial legislation. Previously such power could be exercised by the person of the governor general, but not by the governor general in council." The mere quotation of such an opinion from such a source brands it as the product of the aberrated mind of a mischief-maker.

THE UNHOLY TRINITY

COMMUNISM : FASCISM : SOCIAL CREDIT

Under date of Sept. 17, "The Day," a Jewish newspaper, carries an article under the caption, "Mosley Wants United Front of Fascists in England." As translated for The Rebel, the report reads:

"A proposition to get a united front of Fascists in England was made by Sir Oswald Mosley in the Fascist paper, 'Action' in an article entitled National Socialism and Social Credit." Sir Oswald is approaching Major Douglas, chairman of the Social Credit organization, with the proposition to unite both organizations. It says in the article that both organizations want England for the English, both are against the financial oligarchy, and that both support economic nationalism."

A nice how-de-do! In England the Fascists are courting Social Credit while in Alberta the Communists make love to it. Incidentally, according to the latest issue of "The Thunderbolt," official organ of the Canadian Union of Fascists, the Fascists of Canada appear to be sitting on the fence with a slight leaning toward Aberhart indicated.

All three being founded on the principles of dictatorship and regimentation, it is not surprising that Communism, Fascism and Social Credit should gravitate toward one point to merge into a sort of unholy trinity.

That "THE PEOPLE" Stuff

When shortly after the arrival of experts Powell and Byrne newspaper reports of statements by government spokesmen almost invariably capitalized the words "the people" or "our people," we thought it was done by opposition newspapers as sarcasm and to poke fun at the government. Soon, however, we observed that The Albertan did the same thing, that it was done in the case of all statements emanating from the government, and it finally became evident that this THE PEOPLE stuff was actually a piece of deliberate propaganda by the government itself.

The purpose is now quite plain. Obviously it was intended to serve as a psychological crutch to support the government's insane ravings in the Powell-Byrne inspired drive for "visible and audible" demonstrations of the "will of THE PEOPLE."

It is almost incredible that a government of grown-up PEOPLE could be quite so stupid as to resort to trickery so small as this, and all we can say is that if THE PEOPLE whom it was designed to fool do not resent this insult to their intelligence, then it must be that the now famous "13-year old" estimate in this regard was too high by at least 13 years.

WHERE IS MANDATE FOR BANK LEGISLATION?

W. M. DAVIDSON

Former Editor of The Albertan, Reviews BLUE MANUAL

In these times of turbulence and political earth tremors, it is comforting to Albertans that they are able to turn for guidance to the Blue Manual, which contains the most sacred of the writings of the Alberta premier, himself. This Blue Manual, it may be recalled bears upon its face the name and likeness of William Aberhart, B.A., was from the master's own hand, appeared in thousands as campaign literature at the last provincial election campaign and has correctly been described as the Alberta Social Credit Gospel. It is true that the Blue Manual had been preceded by the Yellow Manual, but that more remote publication, though written by the same hand, is no more mentioned in decent Social Credit society and may even be described as the profane or even heretical literature of the movement, because it forecast certain confiscatory conduct that no one now cares to contemplate. In the Blue Manual, in fact, Mr. Aberhart assures the public that what Mr. Aberhart wrote in the Yellow Manual, is no longer the truth.

The Blue Manual has not as yet met the ignominious fate of its more lurid predecessor and by using it as a chart we should be able to get direction about some of the more uncertain policies of the Government. Let us test the Government's banking policy, using the Blue Manual as the guide.

What does the Government really intend that the banks should do to assist in providing the dividends? Astounding as it may appear, the word "banking" does not appear in any part of the Blue Manual scripture.

But, you may reply, that cannot be correct. Have we not all heard Premier Aberhart denouncing the Canadian Prime Minister, shaking his inspired fist in the very face of Mr. Mackenzie King, not once, but twice and even thrice, declaring that the banking legislation came from the unanimous heart of the people, who demanded it by their votes at the last provincial election?

It is true that such heroic spectacle has been witnessed by thousands, but it is equally true that there is no mention, directly or indirectly near or far, in the entire Blue Manual of any sort about any banking policy. In one place in the Manual Mr. Aberhart assured the more timorous that there would be no confiscating of bank deposits or life insurance equities, as threatened in the Yellow Manual. In another place he asserts confidently that the Social Credit plan, with the Just Price and Unearned Increment and other accessories, is not contrary to the bank act.

But, you persist, how did he plan to pay all the basic dividends without taking control of the banks?

That is interesting, too, and you may find it all set forth on Page 38 of the Blue Manual. It was by the Unearned Increment in connection with the Just Price. He there proceeds to analyse a bushel of wheat, figures out a just price of 60 cents a bushel, of which the farmer should get 12 cents and the Government 5 cents. Applying that method to current prices the farmer, according to the Aberhart plan, would still get the 12 cents and the government something like 55 cents a bushel.

That was the plan, and the only plan in the entire epistle, and all the patter about Cultural Heritage, Blood Stream of The State, Government Credit Houses and other such platitudes, was merely a sort of picturesque adornment.

And was not this bold barrage against the banks not stated in some remote spot of the Manual or indicated in some fashion or hinted at some place?

Not a word, not a surmise, not a hint. There was no more reference in the Blue Manual to a banking policy of any kind than there was reference to a policy of controlling or muzzling the press—which was not mentioned either.

Can it be then, that when Premier Aberhart in his defiance said that the people of Alberta with near unanimity voted in favor of this bank legislation, was not telling the truth?

There is in our language the word "bluff" which the dictionary defines as "imposing on political opponents as to the value of one's hand."—W. M. DAVIDSON, Victoria, B.C.

The "MIRACLE MANNING"

WHO ASKS THE HELP OF GOD TO DO THE DEVIL'S WORK

Even while he had before the legislature his infamous business licensing bill the provisions of which were to invest him with complete dictatorial control over the personal affairs of every man and woman in the province; a bill which would give him power to regulate and order your life and our life according to his own partisan fancies; a bill which would empower him to deprive any person of his natural right to pursue his legitimate work; a bill which would, in fact, accord to one man the despotic power to arbitrarily restrict, control and regiment every act of every citizen in every department of life—without even the right of appeal for justice—we repeat, even while this infamous bill was before the legislature, the Hon. E. C. Manning, its sponsor, had the supreme effrontery to state from the Edmonton political pulpit of his party that his government had no intentions of "exercising dictatorial powers."

It is small wonder that in the same "sermon" he suggested the present trend toward dictatorship as meaning that God may come to earth within the next year. Apparently the wish was father to the thought. For, confronted with the incongruity of having to try to reconcile the crazy antics of the government not only with democracy but with the blasphemous claims of its leader to divine direction and inspiration, one can readily understand Manning's earnest longing for a miracle from Heaven to clean up an unholy mess. It would indeed require direct divine intervention to enforce the Manning licensing act, for it is a certainty that the free people of Alberta would never submit to such a despotic spoliation of their personal rights by this or any other human government. Miracle Manning may therefore just as well throw his silly law into the SCRIP-heap.

"NO COMPROMISE, NO SURRENDER," IS WARNING TO BOGUS BILL — BOGUS DICTATOR

"Leaders Must Have Guts to Fight as Well as to Compromise and Concede," Says FRANK CUSACK, Calgary.

The time has come for us to conquer or submit. For us there is but one choice and we have made it. These were the historic words uttered by President Wilson to the U.S. Congress on April 7, 1917, when war was declared against Imperial Germany. Every red-blooded Canadian will revolt at the cowardly attempt of the paranoiac prophet of the Prophetic Bible Institute and his crew of sycophants rooting for crumbs in their master's stall, to transform them into a herd of goose-stepping German peasants. Yes, Herr Aberhart, the time has come for us to conquer or submit, and we have no doubt as to the outcome. You are going to take a ride mighty soon, and you will be west-bound, even as Kaiser Bill in November, 1918.

It is rather appalling to a student of political history, especially that of the revolutionary and subversive movements of the past 20 years, when he is faced with the mental lag exhibited by leaders of orthodox political parties in their failure to realize that old political formulas are of little avail when dealing with mob fanaticism as that mobilized by Bogus Bill and Clown Prince Manning.

It is easy to understand the difficulty of liberal-minded politicians brought up on the MacDonald-Laurier theory of popular government and the practice of political liberty when they are called upon to connect the idea of re-action with a program based upon Biblical prophecy and increased purchasing power for the have-nots. What they fail to realize is that re-action based on mob rule and led by political adventurers professional and business failures, sky-pilots without a flock, and de-classed bourgeoisie, is most powerful and contagious. It is the stuff out of which revolution and civil war is made.

Alexander Kerensky, one-time Liberal premier of Russia, who in the sacred name of liberty allowed the Bolsheviks to organize armed revolution to overthrow the democratic republic, has this to say in retrospect:

"The example of Hitler has drawn home to the leaders of Western Liberalism, democracy and socialism that which they were unwilling to understand in the case of bolshevism. They now understand that socially the most radical and anti-capitalist program can be quite harmoniously united with re-actionary dictatorship. Who could imagine before the war that a time would come when the fight against democracy would be most successfully conducted, not in alliance with the capitalist and upper classes, but with the de-classed masses of the proletariat."

The de-classed masses of the proletariat, or the "lumpen-proletariat" described by Karl Marx have officially joined Bible Bill. The slum or "lumpen proletariat" is the Communist Party.

The Social Democrats of Germany made the same mistake as the Kerensky democrats in Russia, when faced with a mob-movement committed to the principle of dictatorial government. They counted ballots for the dictators, afforded them free speech and constitutional rights, and their reward for their political liberality was the firing squad, concentration camps and exile.

The leaders of political democracy must demonstrate that they have the guts to fight as well as to compromise and concede. When any faction, sect, or revelation, prophetic or divine set, set themselves above the constitution, there must be no compromise and "No Surrender." The issue then is, dictatorship versus democracy. One or the other must be "liquidated." That time has come. We have but one choice and we have made it. Even as the Roman senators whose watchword was, "Carthage est delenda," our slogan is, "BOGUS BILL, THE TIME HAS COME TO LIQUIDATE YOUR RACKET."

Let the lieutenant-governor do his duty and dismiss the pirate crew. Now we cannot help but mention when we see what you're about.

That when praying from within you're really "Preying" from without.

All your dividends and raptures, all your brayings thus result in What to Prophets of ambition is decidedly insultin'."

DECEPTION BY ALLEGORY

Who dares think one thing, and another tell, my soul detests him as the gates of hell.—Pope.

J. H. Blackmore, M.P., was recently reported to have given a

"comprehensive explanation of the social credit theory illustrated by allegories."

"Allegories," says Addison. WHEN WELL CHOSEN, are like so many tracks of light in a discourse, that make everything about them clear and beautiful." However, it is equally true, as Luther said, that "The allegory of a sophist is always screwed; it crouches and bows like a snake, which is never straight, whether she go, creep or lie still."

It is the latter method of reasoning by allegory that fits most of the argumentation by the leaders of the Alberta Social Credit party. Laboring under the handicap of having to propound an untried and unproven theory, its proponents must of necessity find supposedly allegorical examples to bolster their arguments, and if these were "well chosen," there could be no valid exception raised to their use for this purpose. But the unfortunate truth is that the overwhelming bulk of Social Credit reasoning displayed in this province is not only sophistic but brazenly dishonest. The chief proponents of the Social Credit theory in Alberta are, as Shakespeare says, "Liars—past all shame—so past all truth," and consequently in the words of Shenstone, "They begin with making falsehood appear like truth, and end with making truth itself appear like falsehood."

Mr. Blackmore is comparatively harmless. When, for instance, he recently sought to clarify the Social Credit theory in regard to the creation of credit by citing the gag about the sharks' teeth and "nuts" experiment conducted by certain mythical South Seas savages and explained that what Albertans needed was a sort of "nuts" money and that Social Credit would not take their nuts from them, his allegory explained nothing and only made him ridiculous.

But there is nothing even remotely humorous in Aberhart's downright blasphemy when he illustrates the "something-for-nothing" feature of the S.C. theory with Christ's Atonement on the Cross. Logic and reason and honor and truth are murdered and the Holy Name of God is prostituted when Aberhart declares from a pulpit amidst religious exercises that Social Credit is the "Economy of God." The allegory is thoroughly "screwed," completely false, malicious and vile, when Aberhart compares himself with Moses and likens his own political chicaneries with the latter's deliverance of the Israelites from bondage. When Aberhart by such comparisons gives color to his claim of divine inspiration, he is a liar and thief, for in doing so he falsifies Scripture and purloins a halo of righteousness by fraud. This explains in large measure the widespread contempt for him; for, as South declares, "This is the liar's lot: he is accounted a pest and a nuisance, a person marked out for infamy and scorn."

Allegories are indeed useful instruments for purposes of illustration, fine ornaments for decorating ideas and thoughts. But they are never PROOF of an argument; and when used by a demagogue they are as dangerous to the cause of truth as when the Devil quotes Scripture.

THE PRESS ACT

John Stuart Mill: "OVER HIMSELF, OVER HIS OWN BODY AND MIND, THE INDIVIDUAL IS SOVEREIGN."

It is perhaps entirely superfluous for us to inform our readers that we regard the provincial Press Act as stupid, immoral and criminal, and that we shall therefore refuse to obey it.

We deny the right of any government to exert any power of coercion or suppression in matters of conscience or of free expression and discussion of any matter whatsoever. As John Stuart Mill said long ago:

"The power itself is illegitimate. The best government has no more title to it than the worst. It is as noxious, or more noxious, when exerted in accordance with public opinion, than when in opposition to it. If all mankind minus one were of one opinion, and only one person were of the contrary opinion, mankind would be no more justified in silencing that one person, than he, if he had the power, would be justified in silencing mankind."

No threats, no boycott, no law, no force, no fine nor prison, nor death itself, can muzzle the press of Alberta. Let the government beware of so much as laying a finger upon the press. The press must be free. The press will remain free.

NEXT ISSUE.—The next issue of The Rebel will appear on either October 28 or November 4, that is, if we are not in jail.

BEG PARDON, ALBERTANI

THERE WERE PROMISES, BUT NO PLAN

In its switch-indicator editorial of September 24, The Albertan tries to let itself down easy by saying:

"In the campaign which preceded the last election there were promises made and a plan presented to the electors for a new economic system. On these promises and plan a new legislature came from the ballot boxes with a mandate from the people.

"Both friends and enemies of the government must remember that fact: there was a mandate given and in no uncertain terms—a mandate to attempt to carry out a plan which had been proposed by this new party."

With the rest of the editorial which obviously was intended to serve as the first open warning of The Albertan's break-away from the Social Credit party, we have no quarrel. Two years ago, only a few days after The Albertan announced its alliance with the Aberhartists, we predicted in a letter published by that paper itself that some day it would revolt against the despicable tactics of Aberhart and would turn against him. A complete about-face is as inevitable as the light of day with the rising of the sun.

But when The Albertan attempts to justify its past support of the Aberhart party on the grounds that it wanted to see a fair chance given to the carrying out of "promises made and a plan presented to the electors for a new economic system," then it is a bit quite fair. For, while it is true that Aberhart promised as never mortal man promised before, it is equally true that at no time did he offer a plan for implementing his promises. And we would ask The Albertan to "remember THAT fact."

If The Albertan wants to break away from Aberhart, let it "break clean." It went into the mess with its eyes open. It was told and it knew that it was a dirty mess of lies, deceit and fraud; so it can have no valid excuses and certainly no reasons for having plunged into the mess. The only honorable course for The Albertan to pursue is that one and only way in which a wrong can be righted, and that is by honest effort at amendment.

GOVERNMENT HAS ABDICATED SWORN DUTY:

Maj.-Gen. Hon. W. A. GRIESBACH, C.B., C.M.G., D.S.O.

DANGEROUS SITUATION IN ALBERTA

Editor, The Rebel: In 1935 the Social Credit party headed by Mr. William Aberhart came into power in this province and formed a government.

It is here to be particularly observed that in the period preceding and following this election Mr. Aberhart laid it down for the guidance of his followers that they were not to answer questions, engage in discussions or take part in joint debates on the question as to whether Social Credit was a practical and workable theory of economics. These instructions were faithfully observed and we witnessed the curious phenomenon of a political party coming to power without any discussion whatever as to the real value of the proposals put forward at the election by the government party and without any of that conflict of mind with mind on the question upon which the election turns which is the very essence of the successful working of the mechanics of democracy.

Followed a series of curious and so far unexplained manoeuvres marked by the introduction of Prosperity Certificates and of legislative enactments, most of which were shortly after abandoned, or abortive, or declared to be ultra vires of the province, there emerged the so-called experts from England and elsewhere, who, it is said and so it would appear, are now in entire charge of those operations, which, they say, will lead to the payment of dividends of \$25 per month to every adult in the province—a monthly sum of \$10,000,000—a yearly sum of \$120,000,000.

Led by Mr. Aberhart and supported by his ministers and followers generally and particularly insisted upon by the "experts", the notion is advanced and appears to have been accepted that it is not the obligation or duty of the electors to inform themselves as to how \$25 dividends are to be paid. It is merely for the electors to believe and to unite, and the "experts" will direct the government as to the courses it must follow to produce the desired results. Here we have a complete abdication on the part of a large percentage of the electors of the fundamental duty of an electorate in a democracy. As well we have an abdication of

plain and sworn duty on the part of the government of the province. The function of thinking and deciding no longer rests upon the electors or the government, but rather is exercised by "experts" whose qualifications and capabilities are unknown or doubtful and whose responsibility is nil. But nevertheless they are empowered to conduct an experiment, attempted nowhere else in the world, which bids fair to destroy our credit, curtail personal liberty, deny justice, muzzle the press and defy the Government of Canada to the point of secession from the Canadian confederation.

For three years Mr. Aberhart and his followers over the radio and otherwise have had the field to themselves. Backed by an overwhelming majority in the legislature they have silenced all opposition. For three years not less than 40% of the people of this province have been inarticulate and this frustration of expression of opinion has created a dangerous situation in two ways. First, those denied an opportunity to express themselves are becoming restive to the point where regrettable incidents may occur; and, second, a large portion of our electors have been left without any presentation of the case on the other side. Small wonder then that they appear to acquiesce in actions, proposals and suggestions which are alike undemocratic and un-Canadian and threaten the very foundations of our state.

For these reasons therefore I say that "The Rebel" is doing a valuable work in this Province at the present time and deserves the support of all good citizens who believe in a united Canada and the maintenance of those institutions which make for responsible representative Parliamentary Government within the four corners of our Constitution.—W. A. GRIESBACH.

"NO SUCH INTENTIONS"

One phrase frequently used by Aberhart and his cohorts which always makes us want to massage their lying tongues with a stick of dynamite, is "It is not our intention" to do so and so, when endeavoring to explain statements which clearly indicate or legislation which clearly states the intention.

The business licensing bill, for instance, is dictatorship pure and simple. It gives one man absolute control over every Albertan in every department of life. Yet we are told that it was not intended for the exercise of dictatorial powers. If it is not the intention to exercise the power clearly prescribed in a bill, obviously it should not be enacted into law giving such power.

But we have had enough of these pious professions of innocent intentions. We will take no chances with a Social Credit gun simply because we are told that it is not loaded. No Social Credit member of the government can be trusted to speak the truth at any time. They are all apparently infected with the virus of dishonesty and deceit exuded by Aberhart, who, even when he speaks from a pulpit, preaches lies and when he prays spits falsehood into the face of God.

CAN YOU TAKE IT, SOCREDS?

HOW DO YOU LIKE THIS CRACK?

ASK ABERHART TO DENY IT!

You holy-holy hypocrites who have been swallowing Aberhart's boloney as the "economy of God," "applied Christianity," etc., can you take it on the chin when you now find that your alleged "Christian" party and the atheistic, anti-Christian Communist party are as chummy with each other as Comrade Stalin himself is with—Comrade Stalin. Just like that. All same one. Don't take our word for it, but just remind yourselves that on Sunday night, from his pulpit in Edmonton, Aberhart encouraged support of this party; and if that isn't enough, read the following extract from an Edmonton report in the Communist official organ, The Daily Clarion:

"The constituency executives of the Social Credit League and the Communist party met here jointly last week for the first time to discuss how best to cement progressive unity and once and for all to throw reaction out of the province.

"In the course of the discussion the Communist party platform, recently issued, was read and discussed. The meeting ENDORSED this platform and decided to send a copy to all Social Credit branches for discussion and amendment if desired."

Does this look like an alliance of Social Credit and Communism—or does it?